

REPEATED SEQUENCES IN THE MITOCHONDRIAL GENOME OF YEAST

Gregorio BERNARDI and Giorgio BERNARDI

Ecole Nationale Supérieure des Télécommunications, 46, Rue Barrault, 75013 Paris and Laboratoire de Génétique Moléculaire, Institut de Recherche en Biologie Moléculaire, 2 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

Received 6 May 1980

1. Introduction

The existence of stretches rich in dAT:dAT and dA:dT in the mitochondrial genome of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* was first reported in 1968 for the genome of a 'petite' mutant [1]. Investigations carried out in the following years (reviewed [2]) not only characterized the AT-rich stretches (or AT spacers) of the mitochondrial genome of wild-type yeast cells in their amount ($\geq 50\%$ of the genome), GC level ($< 5\%$ GC), and pyrimidine isostichs, but also suggested that sequence repetitions in AT spacers (and in the GC clusters later found to be embedded in them) could account for the great instability of the genome. Recent work [2–6] has demonstrated that direct repeats 13–23 nucleotides long in the AT spacers and in the GC clusters are indeed used in illegitimate site-specific recombination events to excise mitochondrial DNA segments, which then become the repeat units of 'petite' mutants.

The availability of the primary structure of two long AT-rich segments allowed us to study the sequence repetitions and the palindromes in those stretches. Segment I (fig.1) is the repeat unit of the mitochondrial genome of 'petite' mutant a-1/1R/1 [3,4]; this originated from the region around the 15 S RNA gene [3,7]. Segment II (fig.1) is *Hpa* II fragment 2 of 'petite' mutant DS 401 [8]; this was the putative locus of the *var 1* gene and is located roughly opposite to segment I on the circular map of the mitochondrial genome.

2. Methods

In order to gather information about repeated sequences, a Fortran program was written for a Honeywell-Bull Iris 80 computer. Basically, this first

searched for all repeated tetranucleotides, and then used this set of data to find out longer repeats by a recurrence method. Inverted repeats, palindromes and repeats with mismatch were searched out on the basis of their specific characteristics. Statistical expectations for the frequency of repeated sequences were calculated on the basis of their nucleotide composition, their length and the length of segment I or II. On the other hand, 8 random sequences having the same nucleotide composition and the same length of sequence I were computer-generated and assessed for the frequency of repeats and palindromes. These sequences were used to check the correctness of statistical expectations and to evaluate the significance of the divergence of observed and expected frequencies of repeats in segment I, in view of the existence of statistical fluctuations.

3. Results and discussion

The analysis reported here is limited to: (a) direct repeats; (b) inverted repeats; (c) palindromes. As for repeats, only data on sequences longer than 12 nucleotides will be reported. This is justified by two reasons: (1) So far, no excision site shorter than 13 nucleotides with one mismatch has been reported; this might correspond to the minimal length of sequences involved in site-specific illegitimate recombination; (2) This sequence length is in the neighborhood of the longest direct repeats found in random sequences. For palindromes, only data on sequences longer than 15 nucleotides will be presented.

Data on length, sequence and positions of repeats and palindromes of segments I and II are given in tables 1–3; (positions give the number of the first

1. If 5% mismatch is allowed (a value lower than that found at two excision sites [4]) the number (or the length) of the repeats is greatly increased over the values of tables 1–3; for instance, in segment I, neglecting overlapping repeats, there is no direct repeat longer than 19 nucleotides, but three pairs of repeats 20 nucleotides long are found if a single mismatch is allowed; furthermore, each of these repeats (137,858; 318,513; 228,289) is found in several overlapping frames.
2. A comparison of segments I and II (which might, however, be on different strands) has revealed that many sequences of segment I are repeated in segment II, in agreement with the idea that spacer sequences are built according to the same pattern all over mitochondrial genome units; this idea is also supported by the similar level of repeats found in the two segments (see tables 1–3), and by previous experiments showing similar pyrimidine isostich patterns and similar GC vs. ρ (buoyant density) relationships for 'petite' genomes arising from different regions of the wild-type genome [11].

No exception apparently exists to this rule, since even the 68 nucleotide segment forming the repeat units of mitochondrial DNA of petite RD1A [12], though claimed to be 'unique' [13], shares several sequences 14–18 nucleotides long with segments I and II; since these segments only represent <5% of all spacers, many more such (and longer) sequences must be present in the mitochondrial genome.

This work fully substantiates our suggestions, opposed [14,15], that the mitochondrial genome of yeast is highly repetitious in nucleotide sequences in its AT spacers and GC clusters. The abundance of such repetitive sequences appear to account, as predicted [2,16,17], for the extremely high frequency of the spontaneous 'petite' mutation and of mitochondrial recombination in crosses, as well as for the apparent total sequence homology [18] of mitochondrial

genomes, which originate from different strains and differ in the lengths of their AT spacers.

A more detailed analysis of segments I and II and of other spacer–cluster sequences will be presented elsewhere.

References

- [1] Bernardi, G., Carnevali, F., Nicolaieff, A., Piperno, G. and Tecce, G. (1968) *J. Mol. Biol.* 37, 493–505.
- [2] Bernardi, G. (1979) *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 4, 197–201.
- [3] Faugeron-Fonty, G., Culard, F., Baldacci, G., Goursot, R., Prunell, A. and Bernardi, G. (1979) *J. Mol. Biol.* 134, 493–537.
- [4] Gaillard, C., Strauss, F. and Bernardi, G. (1980) *Nature* 283, 218–220.
- [5] Bernardi, G., Baldacci, G., Culard, F., Faugeron-Fonty, G., Gaillard, C., Goursot, R., Strauss, F. and De Zamaroczy, M. (1980) submitted.
- [6] Baldacci, G., De Zamaroczy, M. and Bernardi, G. (1980) *FEBS Lett.* 114, 234–236.
- [7] De Zamaroczy, M., Baldacci, G. and Bernardi, G. (1979) *FEBS Lett.* 108, 429–432.
- [8] Tzagoloff, A., Macino, G., Nobrega, M. P. and Li, M. (1979) in: *Extrachromosomal DNA* (Cummings, D. J. et al. eds) pp. 339–355, Academic Press, New York.
- [9] Bernardi, G., Faurès, M., Piperno, G. and Slonimski, P. P. (1970) *J. Mol. Biol.* 48, 23–42.
- [10] Prunell, A. and Bernardi, G. (1974) *J. Mol. Biol.* 86, 825–841.
- [11] Faugeron-Fonty, G. (1978) PhD Thesis, Université Paris VII.
- [12] Van Kreijl, C. F. and Bos, J. L. (1977) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 4, 2369–2388.
- [13] Bos, J. L., Van Kreijl, C. F., Ploegaert, F. H., Mol, J. N. M. and Borst, P. (1978) *Nucleic Acids Res.* 5, 4563–4578.
- [14] Borst, P. (1972) *Ann. Rev. Biochem.* 41, 333–376.
- [15] Borst, P. and Grivell, L. A. (1978) *Cell* 15, 702–753.
- [16] Fonty, G., Goursot, R., Wilkie, D. and Bernardi, G. (1978) *J. Mol. Biol.* 119, 213–235.
- [17] Prunell, A., Kopecka, H., Strauss, F. and Bernardi, G. (1977) *J. Mol. Biol.* 110, 17–52.
- [18] Groot, G. S. P., Flavell, R. A. and Sanders, J. P. M. (1975) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 378, 186–194.